## NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM/Federal Health Care & Motorcycle Helmets

SUBJECT: National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 . . . S. 440. Snowe motion to table the Chafee/Hutchison perfecting amendment No. 1443 to the Snowe amendment No. 1442.

## **ACTION: MOTION TO TABLE AGREED TO, 60-39**

**SYNOPSIS:** As reported, S. 440, the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995, will designate the National Highway System in accordance with the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). Failure to enact the bill by September 30, 1995, will result in all States losing their National Highway System and Interstate Maintenance funding.

The Snowe Amendment would strike the reference to mandatory motorcycle helmet laws from section 153 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA). That section sanctions States that do not adopt mandatory seat belt laws and motorcycle helmet laws by requiring them to transfer a portion of their annual transportation fund allocations to safety programs. In 1995, the percent that a non-complying State must transfer is 1.5 percent. In 1996 and beyond, 3 percent must be transferred.

The Chafee/Hutchison perfecting amendment to the Snowe amendment would provide that for a State to be exempt from transferring funds as required under ISTEA because it does not have a mandatory motorcycle helmet law, it must agree to assume any Federal cost incurred in providing medical care to treat motorcycle injuries to the extent those injuries are caused by the failure to wear motorcycle helmets.

During debate, Senator Snowe moved to table the Chafee/Hutchison amendment. The motion to table is not debatable; however, some debate preceded the making of the motion. Generally, those favoring the motion to table opposed the amendment; those opposing the motion to table favored the amendment.

NOTE: The Senate earlier failed to table the Snowe amendment (see vote No. 274). Following the tabling of the Chafee/Hutchison amendment, the Snowe amendment was adopted by voice vote.

**Those favoring** the motion to table contended:

(See other side)

<b>YEAS</b> (60)			NAYS (39)			NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans Democrats		Republicans Democra		Democrats	Republicans	Democrats	
(45 or 85%) (15 o		(15 or 33%)	(8 or 15%)	(31 or 67%)		(1)	(0)
Abraham Ashcroft Bennett Brown Burns Campbell Coats Cochran Cohen Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Dole Domenici Frist Grams Grassley Gregg Hatch Hatfield Helms	Inhofe Jeffords Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar McConnell Murkowski Nickles Packwood Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Snowe Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner	Baucus Bingaman Boxer Bradley Conrad Daschle Dorgan Exon Feingold Graham Kohl Leahy Moseley-Braun Nunn Wellstone	Bond Chafee Faircloth Gorton Gramm Hutchison Mack Specter	Akaka Biden Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Dodd Feinstein Ford Glenn Harkin Heflin Hollings Inouye Johnston	Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Lautenberg Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moynihan Murray Pell Pryor Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other  SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 275 JUNE 21, 1995

We have already discussed our rejection of the claim that the Federal Government has a right to involve itself in this State issue because some motorcycle accident victims who do not wear helmets receive medical treatment from Medicaid and Medicare (see vote No. 271). We will, of course, support the motion to table this amendment.

## **Those opposing** the motion to table contended:

We strongly favor the current Federal law that is designed to entice States into adopting mandatory motorcycle helmet laws, though apparently we are not in the majority in this sentiment (see vote No. 274). One of the reasons for our support of this law is that we do not think that the Federal Government should have to pay for injuries that result from the failure to have mandatory helmet laws. Currently, many motorcycle accident victims who sustain head injuries because they are not wearing helmets end up being treated under Medicaid or Medicare. Apparently, many Senators who do not favor trying to force States to adopt mandatory helmet laws agree with us that the Federal Government should not have to pay for the injuries that result in States from the failure to have such laws. We have therefore offered this amendment. This amendment would not have the Federal Government punish States for failing to adopt mandatory motorcycle helmet laws, but it would have it refuse to pay for the injuries that result. The amendment is fair, and deserves our support.